

Constructing a statistical mechanics for Beck-Cohen superstatistics

Constantino Tsallis^a and Andre M. C. Souza^{a,b *}

^aCentro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150
22290-180 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

^bDepartamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, 49100-000 São Cristóvão-SE,
Brazil

Abstract

The basic aspects of both Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) and nonextensive statistical mechanics can be seen through three different stages. First, the proposal of an entropic functional ($S_{BG} = -k \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$ for the BG formalism) with the appropriate constraints ($\sum_i p_i = 1$ and $\sum_i p_i E_i = U$ for the BG canonical ensemble). Second, through optimization, the equilibrium or stationary-state distribution ($p_i = e^{-\beta E_i} / Z_{BG}$ with $Z_{BG} = \sum_j e^{-\beta E_j}$ for BG). Third, the connection to thermodynamics (e.g., $F_{BG} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_{BG}$ and $U_{BG} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z_{BG}$). Assuming temperature fluctuations, Beck and Cohen recently proposed a generalized Boltzmann factor $B(E) = \int_0^\infty d\beta f(\beta) e^{-\beta E}$. This corresponds to the second stage above described. In this letter we solve the corresponding first stage, i.e., we present an entropic functional and its associated constraints which lead precisely to $B(E)$. We illustrate with all six admissible examples given by Beck and Cohen.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Gg, 05.70.Ce, 05.70.Ln

*tsallis@cbpf.br, amcsouza@ufs.br

The foundations of statistical mechanics and thermodynamics, is a fascinating and subtle matter. Its far reaching consequences have attracted deep attention since more than one century ago (see, for instance, Einstein's remark on the Boltzmann principle [1]). The field remains open to new proposals focusing nonequilibrium (and metaequilibrium) stationary states. One of such proposals is nonextensive statistical mechanics, advanced in 1988 [2,3] (see [4] for reviews). This formalism is based on an entropic index q (which recovers usual statistical mechanics for $q = 1$), and has been applied to a variety of systems, covering certain classes of both (meta)equilibrium and nonequilibrium phenomena, e.g., turbulence [5], hadronic jets produced by electron-positron annihilation [6], cosmic rays [7], motion of *Hydra viridissima* [8], one-dimensional [9] and two-dimensional [10] maps, among others. In addition to this, it has been advanced that it could be appropriate for handling some aspects of long-range interacting Hamiltonian systems (see [11] and references therein).

Let us be more precise. Nonextensive statistical mechanics is based on the entropic form (here written for W discrete events)

$$S_q = k \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q}{q - 1} \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^W p_i = 1; q \in \mathcal{R} \right) \quad (1)$$

with

$$S_1 = S_{BG} = -k \sum_{i=1}^W p_i \ln p_i . \quad (2)$$

If we focus on the canonical ensemble (system in contact with a thermostat), we must add the following constraint [3]

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q} = U_q \quad (U_1 = U_{BG}) , \quad (3)$$

where $\{E_i\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian with given boundary conditions. Optimizing S_q we straightforwardly obtain the distribution corresponding to the equilibrium, metaequilibrium or stationary state, namely

$$p_i = \frac{[1 - (1 - q)\beta_q(E_i - U_q)]^{1/(1-q)}}{\bar{Z}_q} \quad (4)$$

with

$$\bar{Z}_q = \sum_{j=1}^W [1 - (1 - q)\beta_q(E_j - U_q)]^{1/(1-q)} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\beta_q = \frac{\beta}{\sum_{j=1}^W p_j^q} , \quad (6)$$

β being the Lagrange parameter. We easily verify that, for $q = 1$, we recover the celebrated BG equilibrium distribution

$$p_i = \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z_{BG}} \quad (7)$$

with

$$Z_{BG} = \sum_{j=1}^W e^{-\beta E_j} . \quad (8)$$

Very recently, Beck and Cohen have proposed [12] a generalization of the BG factor. More precisely, assuming that the inverse temperature β might itself be a stochastic variable, they advance

$$B(E) = \int_0^\infty d\beta' f(\beta') e^{-\beta' E} , \quad (9)$$

where the distribution $f(\beta')$ satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\beta' f(\beta') = 1 . \quad (10)$$

It is clear that $f(\beta') = \delta(\beta' - \beta)$ recovers the usual BG factor. They have also shown that, if $f(\beta')$ is the Gamma (or χ^2) distribution, then the distribution associated with nonextensive statistical mechanics is reobtained. They have also illustrated their proposal with the uniform, bimodal, log-normal and F -distributions. Moreover, they define (see also [13])

$$q_{BC} = \frac{\langle \beta^2 \rangle}{\langle \beta \rangle^2} \quad (\langle \dots \rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\beta f(\beta) (\dots)) . \quad (11)$$

where we have introduced the notation q_{BC} in order to avoid confusion with the present q . Clearly, if $f(\beta')$ is the Gamma distribution, then $q_{BC} = q$. Finally they argue that, whenever $|q_{BC} - 1| \ll 1$, the $B(E)$ factor asymptotically becomes the nonextensive one *for all admissible* $f(\beta')$. In other words, nonextensive statistical mechanics would correspond, for this particular mechanism where nonextensivity is driven by the fluctuations of β , to the *universal* behavior whenever the fluctuations are relatively small.

This is no doubt a very deep and interesting result, *but* it does not constitute by itself a statistical mechanics. The reason is that the factor $B(E)$ has been introduced through what can, in some sense, be considered as an *ad hoc* procedure. The basic element which is missing in order to be legitimate to speak of a statistical-mechanical formalism is to be able to *derive* the factor $B(E)$ from an entropic functional with concrete constraints (and very especially the energetic constraint, which generates the concept of thermostat temperature). The purpose of the present paper is to exhibit such entropic form and constraint.

Let us first write a quite generic entropic form (from now on $k = 1$ for simplicity), namely

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^W s(p_i) \quad (s(x) \geq 0; s(0) = s(1) = 0) . \quad (12)$$

For the BG entropy S_{BG} we have $s(x) = -x \ln x$, and for the nonextensive one S_q we have $s(x) = (x - x^q)/(q - 1)$. The function $s(x)$ should generically have a definite concavity $\forall x \in [0, 1]$. Conditions (12) imply that $S \geq 0$ and that certainty corresponds to $S = 0$.

Let us now address the constraint associated with the energy. We consider the following form:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^W u(p_i) E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^W u(p_i)} = U \quad (0 \leq u(x) \leq 1; u(0) = 0; u(1) = 1) . \quad (13)$$

For the BG internal energy U_{BG} we have $u(x) = x$, and for the nonextensive one U_q we have $u(x) = x^q$. The function $u(x)$ should generically be a monotonically increasing one. Certainty about E_j implies $U = E_j$. The quantity $u(p_i)/\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)$ constitutes itself a probability distribution (which generalizes the escort distribution defined in [14]).

Let us consider now the functional

$$\Phi \equiv S - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^W p_i - \beta \frac{\sum_{i=1}^W u(p_i) E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^W u(p_i)} , \quad (14)$$

where α and β are Lagrange parameters. The condition $\partial\Phi/\partial p_j = 0$ implies

$$s'(p_j) - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^W u(p_i)} u'(p_j)(E_j - U) = 0 . \quad (15)$$

Let us now heuristically assume

$$u'(x) = \mu + \nu s'(x) , \quad (16)$$

$$u(x) = \mu x + \nu s(x) + \xi . \quad (17)$$

But the condition $s(0) = u(0) = 0$ implies $\xi = 0$, and the conditions $s(1) = 0$ and $u(1) = 1$ imply that $\mu = 1$, hence

$$u(x) = x + \nu s(x) \quad (18)$$

hence,

$$u'(x) = 1 + \nu s'(x) . \quad (19)$$

Observe that if $\nu = 0$ we have $u(x) = x$ and $\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j) = \sum_{j=1}^W p_j = 1$.

The replacement of Eq. (19) into Eq. (15) yields

$$s'(p_i) = \frac{\alpha + \beta \frac{E_i - U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}}{1 - \beta \nu \frac{E_i - U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}} , \quad (20)$$

hence

$$p_i = (s')^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha + \beta \frac{E_i - U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}}{1 - \beta \nu \frac{E_i - U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}} \right\} . \quad (21)$$

The condition $\sum_{i=1}^W p_i = 1$ enables the (analytical or numerical) elimination of the Lagrange parameter α . The function (21) is to be identified with $B(E)/\int_0^\infty dE' B(E')$ from [12]. In other words, if $E(y)$ is the inverse function of $B(E)/\int_0^\infty dE' B(E')$ we have that

$$s(x) = \int_0^x dy \frac{\alpha + \beta \frac{E(y)-U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}}{1 - \beta \nu \frac{E(y)-U}{\sum_{j=1}^W u(p_j)}}, \quad (22)$$

which, together with Eq. (18), completely solves the problem once ν is determined. Summarizing, given an admissible function $B(x)$, we have uniquely determined the functions $s(x)$ and $u(x)$, which, replaced into Eqs. (12) and (13), concludes the formulation of the statistical mechanics associated with the Beck-Cohen superstatistics. An important remark remains to be made, namely *any* monotonic function of S given by Eq. (12) also is a solution at this stage. Which of those is to be retained for a possible connection with thermodynamics is a different matter, and remains an open issue at the present stage. For example, for nonextensive statistical mechanics, in what concerns the stationary distribution, S_q and the Renyi entropy $S_q^R = \frac{\ln[1+(1-q)S_q]}{1-q}$ are equivalent. In other words, *at this level* we could indistinctively use S_q or S_q^R . There is however, a variety of physical arguments which are out of the scope of the present work but which nevertheless point, in that particular case, S_q as being the correct physical quantity to be used for thermodynamic and dynamic purposes.

Let us now compare the $B(E)$ factor obtained by Beck-Cohen formalism with the distribution presented here in Eq. (21). In addition to the fact that the former does not include the normalization constant whereas the latter does, we notice that the $B(E)$ factor has parameters such as $\beta_0 \equiv \langle \beta \rangle$, instead of the parameters α , U , ν and $\beta / \sum_j^W u(p_j)$ (generalization of (6)) appearing in Eq. (21). This problem will be handled as follows. Since our aim is to determine the *functional forms* of $s(x)$ and $u(x)$, it is enough to work with only one variable. So, we take $\beta_0 = \beta / \sum_j^W u(p_j) = 1$ and $U = 0$. Let us now determine ν . Using Eqs. (15) and (19), and integrating, we obtain

$$u(x) = (1 + \alpha\nu) \int_0^x \frac{dy}{1 - \nu E(y)}. \quad (23)$$

It is physically reasonable to assume that $u(x)$ monotonically increases with x , hence $du/dx \geq 0$, hence $(1 + \alpha\nu)/(1 - \nu E) \geq 0$. We shall verify later that $1 + \alpha\nu > 0$, hence it must be $\nu \leq 1/E$. If we note E^* the lowest admissible value of E , we are allowed to consider $\nu = 1/E^*$. In particular, if $E^* \rightarrow -\infty$ then it must be $\nu = 0$. An example where E^* is

finite is nonextensive statistical mechanics with $q > 1$. In this case, $E^* = 1/(1 - q)$, hence $\nu = 1 - q$. We can trivially verify that this value for ν , together with $s(x) = (x - x^q)/(q - 1)$ and $u(x) = x^q$ precisely satisfy Eq. (18).

Summarizing, the final form of $u(x)$ is given by

$$u(x) = (1 + \alpha/E^*) \int_0^x \frac{dy}{1 - E(y)/E^*}, \quad (24)$$

and therefore

$$s(x) = \int_0^x dy \frac{\alpha + E(y)}{1 - E(y)/E^*}. \quad (25)$$

In what follows we shall illustrate the above procedure by addressing *all* the admissible examples appearing in [12]. The cases associated with the Dirac-delta and the Gamma distributions for $f(\beta)$ (respectively corresponding to BG and nonextensive statistical mechanics) can be handled analytically. The other four cases (uniform, bimodal, log-normal and F-distributions for $f(\beta)$) have been treated numerically as follows. We first choose $f(\beta)$, then calculate $B(E)$ and from this calculate $\int_0^\infty dE' B(E')$. By inverting the axes of the variables, we find the inverse $E(y)$ of Beck-Cohen superstatistics. From this we obtain E^* . Two cases are possible. The first one corresponds to $E^* \rightarrow -\infty$, hence $\nu = 0$, hence $u(x) = x$ and $s(x) = \alpha x + \int_0^x dy E(y)$. The condition $s(1) = 0$ determines α , which is therefore given by $\alpha = -\int_0^1 dy E(y)$. The second case corresponds to a finite and known value of E^* , which determines $\nu = 1/E^*$. From this, we calculate $\int_0^x \frac{dy}{1 - E(y)/E^*}$. From the condition $u(1) = 1$ and using Eq. (24), it is easy to see that $1 + \alpha\nu = 1 + \alpha/E^* = 1/\int_0^1 \frac{dy}{1 - E(y)/E^*} > 0$ and it is direct to determine α , which in turn enables the calculation of $u(x)$ using once again Eq. (24). Finally, from Eq. (18), we calculate $s(x)$, and the problem is solved.

In Fig. 1 ((a) and (b)) typical examples of $s(x)$ and $u(x)$ are presented for all the cases addressed in [12]. In Fig. 2 we show the entropies associated with all these examples assuming $W = 2$.

Let us conclude by saying that it has been possible to find expressions for the entropy and for the energetic constraint that lead to a generic Beck-Cohen superstatistics. Of course,

similar considerations are valid for other constraints if we were focusing on say the grand-canonical ensembles. The step we have discussed is necessary for having the statistical mechanics generating these superstatistics through a variational principle. What remains to be done is the possible connection with thermodynamics. This is not a trivial task, because, unless we are dealing with a nonlinear power-law for $u(x)$ (which precisely is nonextensive statistical mechanics), the Lagrange parameter α is *not* factorizable in Eq. (21), hence no partition function can be defined in the usual sense, i.e., a partition function which depends on β (and other analogous parameters), but does *not* depend on α . Summarizing, nonextensive statistical mechanics not only paradigmatically represents, as shown in [12], the universal behavior of all Beck-Cohen superstatistics in the limit $q_{BC} \simeq q \simeq 1$, but it is the only one for which an α -independent partition function can be defined.

Last but not least, let us emphasize that the present results strengthen the idea that the statistical mechanical methods can be *in principle* used out of equilibrium as well. To be more specific, we can think of using them (i) in *equilibrium* (e.g., in the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit of noninteracting or short-range interacting Hamiltonians, as well as in the $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty}$ of long-range interacting many-body Hamiltonian systems; this is essentially BG statistical mechanics), (ii) in *metaequilibrium* (e.g., in the $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty}$ of long-range interacting many-body Hamiltonian systems; see, for instance, [11]), and (iii) for appropriate classes of *stationary states*.

Useful remarks from F. Baldovin are gratefully acknowledged. Partial support from PCI/MCT, CNPq, PRONEX, FAPERJ (Brazilian agencies) is also acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik **33**, 1275 (1910) [“Usually W is put equal to the number of complexions... In order to calculate W , one needs a *complete* (molecular-mechanical) theory of the system under consideration. Therefore it is dubious whether the Boltzmann principle has any meaning without a complete molecular-mechanical theory or some other theory which describes the elementary processes. $S = \frac{R}{N} \log W + \text{const.}$ seems without content, from a phenomenological point of view, without giving in addition such an *Elementartheorie*.” (Translation: Abraham Pais, *Subtle is the Lord...*, Oxford University Press, 1982)].
- [2] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988); E.M.F. Curado and C. Tsallis, J. Phys. A **24**, L69 (1991) [Corrigenda: **24**, 3187 (1991) and **25**, 1019 (1992)]. For a regularly updated bibliography of the subject see <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm> .
- [3] C. Tsallis, R.S. Mendes and A.R. Plastino, Physica A **261**, 534 (1998).
- [4] S.R.A. Salinas and C. Tsallis (eds.), *Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, Braz. J. Phys. **29**, Number 1 (1999); S. Abe and Y. Okamoto (eds.), *Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, Series *Lecture Notes in Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2001); P. Grigolini, C. Tsallis and B.J. West (eds.), *Classical and Quantum Complexity and Nonextensive Thermodynamics*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **13**, Number 3 (Pergamon-Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002); G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia and A. Rapisarda (eds.), *Non Extensive Thermodynamics and Physical Applications*, Physica A **305**, Number 1/2 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002); M. Gell-Mann and C. Tsallis (eds.), *Nonextensive Entropy - Interdisciplinary Applications* (Oxford University Press, 2002), to appear.
- [5] C. Beck, Physica A **277**, 115 (2000); C. Beck, G.S. Lewis and H.L. Swinney, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 035303 (2001); C. Beck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 180601 (2001); T. Arimitsu and N. Arimitsu, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 3237 (2000), J. Phys. A **33**, L235 (2000) and Physica A

- 305**, 218 (2002).
- [6] I. Bediaga, E.M.F. Curado and J. Miranda, *Physica A* **286**, 156 (2000); C. Beck, *Physica A* **286**, 164 (2000).
- [7] C. Tsallis, J.C. Anjos and E.P. Borges, astro-ph/0203258.
- [8] A. Upadhyaya, J.-P. Rieu, J.A. Glazier and Y. Sawada, *Physica A* **293**, 549 (2001).
- [9] C. Tsallis, A.R. Plastino and W.-M. Zheng, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **8**, 885 (1997); U.M.S. Costa, M.L. Lyra, A.R. Plastino and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 245 (1997); M.L. Lyra, C. Tsallis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 53 (1998); U. Tirnakli, C. Tsallis and M.L. Lyra, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **10**, 309 (1999); J. Yang and P. Grigolini, *Phys Lett. A* **263**, 323 (1999); F.A.B.F. de Moura, U. Tirnakli and M.L. Lyra, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 6361 (2000); V. Latora, M. Baranger, A. Rapisarda and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Lett. A* **273**, 97 (2000); U. Tirnakli, G.F.J. Ananos and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Lett. A* **289**, 51 (2001); E.P. Borges, C. Tsallis, G.F.J. Ananos and P.M.C. Oliveira, cond-mat/0203348.
- [10] F. Baldovin, C. Tsallis and B. Schulze, cond-mat/0203595.
- [11] V. Latora, A. Rapisarda and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 056134 (2001).
- [12] C. Beck and E.G.D. Cohen, *Superstatistics*, cond-mat/0205097.
- [13] G. Wilk and Z. Wlodarczyk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2770 (2000).
- [14] C. Beck and F. Schlogl, *Thermodynamics of Chaotic Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

Fig. 1 - Functions $s(x)$ (a) and $u(x)$ (b) for illustrative examples of the cases focused on in [12]. From top to bottom: (i) F-distribution [$f(\beta) = \frac{24}{(2+\beta)^4}$]; (ii) Bimodal [$f(\beta) = 0.5 \delta(\beta - 1/2) + 0.5 \delta(\beta - 3/2)$]; (iii) Log-normal [$f(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(0.5+\ln\beta)^2}{2}}$]; (iv) Uniform [$f(\beta) = 1$ on the interval $[1/2, 3/2]$, and zero otherwise]; (v) Dirac delta [$f(\beta) = \delta(\beta - 1)$]; (vi) Gamma [$f(\beta) = \frac{(\beta)^{0.25}}{(0.8)^{1.25}\Gamma(1.25)} e^{-1.25\beta}$], which corresponds to $q = 1.8$.

Fig. 2 - $W = 2$ entropies associated with the examples presented in Fig. 1.





